

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,323	07/09/2003	Laurence A. Cole	MBHB 03-411-A	1369
7590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER		
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C. 714 Colorado Avenue			REDDIG, PETER J	
			<u> </u>	
Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	1642
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/616,323	COLE, LAURENCE A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter J. Reddig	1642			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	nril 2006.				
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)	<u>-</u>					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
<b>4</b> )⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
a)ر	<u> </u>	s have been received	·			
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau		a in the transmit stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.			
		·				
		·				
Attachment	• •	<b>,</b> ,□,,,,	(77.0 44.0)			
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/7/2006</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)			
وأناجناوه		· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Election filed 04/24/06 in response to the Office Action of 03/16/06 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-16 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search and examination of all of the inventions would not impose a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive. MPEP 802.01 provides that restriction is proper between inventions that are independent or distinct. Here, the inventions of the various groups are distinct for the reasons set forth in the Action mailed 03/16/06.

Although the inventions are classified similarly, the classification of subject matter is merely one indication of the burdensome nature of the search involved. The literature search, particularly relevant in this art, is not coextensive and is much more important in evaluating the burden of search. For example, there are numerous methods of determining the level of ITA and its relationship to various disease states in the art. Thus, the different methods of each Group would require independent searching separate from the other Groups. For these reasons, the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-45 are pending.

Claims 17-45 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Claims 1-16 are currently under consideration.

Art Unit: 1642

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: determining the **total** amount of hCG in claim 1, b., as taught in the specification on page 6, lines 4-7. This allows determination of the percentage of hCG that is ITA.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobata (Biochimie, 1988, 70: 1575-1585).

The claims are drawn to a method of detecting the presence or absence of invasive trophoblast cells in a biological sample wherein the biological sample is urine comprising the steps of: a. obtaining a biological sample from a patient; b. measuring an amount of hCG in the biological sample; c. measuring an amount of ITA in the biological sample; and d. determining the percentage of hCG that is ITA, wherein invasive trophoblast cells are detected if the percentage is 30% or greater.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,323

Art Unit: 1642

Kobata teaches a method obtaining a biological sample wherein the biological sample is urine from a patient previously diagnosed with a trophoblastic disease (pg. 1582, right column and Figure 9). Additionally, Kobata teaches measuring total hCG and the percentage of hCG that is hyperglycosylated hCG (page 1582, right column and Figure 9), which is ITA. Finally, Kobata teaches the amount hCG that is ITA is greater than 30% in invasive mole and choriocarcinoma patients and less than 30% in the samples from hydatidiform mole patients (Figure 9).

Claims 1-5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole et al. (Prenatal Diagnosis, 1999, 19: 351-359).

The claims are drawn to a method of detecting the presence or absence of invasive trophoblast cells in a biological sample wherein the biological sample is urine comprising the steps of: a. obtaining a biological sample from a patient; b. measuring an amount of hCG in the biological sample; c. measuring an amount of ITA in the biological sample; and d. determining the percentage of hCG that is ITA, wherein invasive trophoblast cells are detected if the percentage is 30% or greater.

Cole et al. teach a method obtaining a biological sample wherein the biological sample is urine from a patient (pg. 352, left column). Additionally, Cole et al. teach measuring intact and total hCG and measuring the β hCG subunit (pg. 352, right column and Table 1). Furthermore, Cole et al. teach measuring hyperglycosylated hCG (page 352, right column and Table 1). Finally, Cole et al. teach measuring the percentage of hCG that is ITA (Table 1). The specification teaches that trophoblast cells are the source of hCG (pg. 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> para.) and ITA is produced by invasive cytotrophoblast cells (pg. 5, lines 19, 20). Thus, detecting the presence or

Application/Control Number: 10/616,323 Page 5

Art Unit: 1642

absence of invasive trophoblast cells is inherent in the method of Cole et al. Thus, Cole et al. anticipates the claimed method of detecting the presence or absence of invasive trophoblast cells in a biological, urine sample comprising the aforementioned steps.

# **Summary**

No claims are allowed.

Claims 9 and 16 are free of the prior art. The closest prior art is Kobata (Biochimie, 1988, 70: 1575-1585) which does not teach or suggest the limitation wherein the gestational trophoblastic disease is a placenta-site tumor.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Reddig whose telephone number is (571) 272-9031. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter J. Reddig, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

PJR